

Adam P. Segal, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 6120
Christopher M. Humes, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 12782
BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP
100 North City Parkway, Suite 1600
Las Vegas, Nevada 89106
Telephone: (702) 382-2101
Facsimile: (702) 382-8135
Email: asegal@bhfs.com
Email: chumes@bhfs.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE
ELECTRICAL WORKERS PENSION
TRUST, and ELECTRICAL WORKERS
PENSION TRUST

Plaintiffs,

vs.

NATIONAL SECURITY
TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, a foreign limited
liability company,

Defendant.

Case No.

COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs allege:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. The claim at issue in this Complaint presents a present, actual, and justiciable controversy arising under federal law.

2. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question). Under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (“ERISA”), as amended by the Multiemployer Pension Plan Amendments Act of 1980 (“MPPAA”), specifically at 29

1 U.S.C. § 1401(b)(1), this Court has subject matter jurisdiction to enforce a pension benefit plan's
 2 collection of withdrawal liability assessed against an employer when an arbitration has not been
 3 timely initiated. In addition, the Court has authority to provide the relief Plaintiffs seek under 29
 4 U.S.C. § 1451, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-2202 (the Declaratory Judgment Act), and Federal Rule of
 5 Civil Procedure 57.

6 3. Venue is proper under 29 U.S.C. § 1451(d) because the Plan is administered in
 7 Clark County, Nevada.

8 **PARTIES**

9 4. Plaintiffs are the Board of Trustees of the Electrical Workers Pension Trust (the
 10 "Trustees" or "Board of Trustees") and the Electrical Workers Pension Trust (the "Trust").

11 5. The Board of Trustees is made up of fiduciaries of the Plan for purposes of
 12 ERISA.

13 6. The Trust is an "employee benefit pension plan" as defined in 29 U.S.C. §
 14 1002(2); and a "multiemployer plan" as defined in 29 U.S.C. §§ 1002(37) and 1301(a)(3).

15 7. Defendant, National Security Technologies, LLC ("NST"), is a foreign limited
 16 liability company and is an employer within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 1002(5)

17 **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

18 8. NST was a signatory to a collective bargaining agreement that required NST to
 19 make employee benefit contributions to the Plan.

20 9. In 2017, NST lost its bid to continue its contract with the Department of Energy
 21 and discontinued work at the Nevada National Security Site.

22 10. NST's lost bid resulted in it completely withdrawing from the Trust on December
 23 1, 2017, as defined in 29 U.S.C. § 1383.

24 11. On January 23, 2020, the Trust sent a demand to NST for withdrawal liability in
 25 the amount of \$2,512,483. Ex. 1 ("Withdrawal Liability Demand").

26 12. On April 21, 2020, NST submitted a request for review to the Trust. Ex. 2 ("NST's
 27 Request for Review")

13. The Trust provided a response to the request for review on October 29, 2020, reaffirming its initial assessment of withdrawal liability. Ex. 3 (“Response to Request for Review”).

14. On December 17, 2020, NST untimely attempted to initiate arbitration, regarding the Trust’s withdrawal liability assessment. *See* Ex. 4 (the “Demand for Arbitration”). NST is well aware that its attempted initiation of arbitration was untimely.

15. Nevertheless, NST has not vacated the arbitration proceedings, despite the Trust’s request that it do so.

SOLE CAUSE OF ACTION

(Declaratory Judgment)

16. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 to 15 as though fully set forth herein.

17. This dispute is a case of actual controversy within this Court’s jurisdiction.

18. The Trustees and the Trust seek a judicial determination that NST’s attempted initiation of the arbitration proceeding was untimely, and seek a judicial determination that the Trust’s withdrawal liability assessment is therefore immediately due and owing.

19. An employer that disputes a pension plan’s withdrawal liability assessment must submit a request for review of the assessment to the pension plan within 90 days of the pension’s plan assessment of withdrawal liability. *See* 29 U.S.C. 1399(b)(2).

20. After a request for review is submitted, a 60-day window to initiate arbitration opens on the *earlier of* either: (1) the date the pension plan responds to the request for review; or (2) 120 days after the request for review was made by the employer. *See* 29 U.S.C. 1401(a)(1).

21. If the employer fails to initiate arbitration within the time frame set by 29 U.S.C. 1401(a)(1), then “the amounts demanded by the plan sponsor under section 1399(b)(1) of this title shall be due and owing on the schedule set forth by the plan sponsor.” 29 U.S.C § 1401(b)(1).

22. As alleged above, NST submitted its request for review on April 21, 2020.

1 23. As also alleged above, the Trust responded to NST's request for review on October
2 29, 2020.

3 24. Given those dates, the 60-day window to initiate arbitration opened on the *earlier*
4 of either: (1) October 29, 2020 (when the Trust responded to NST's request for review), or (2)
5 August 19, 2020 (120 days after NST submitted its request for review). 29 U.S.C. 1401(a)(1).

6 25. August 19, 2020, is earlier than October 29, 2020.

7 26. Therefore, the 60-day window to initiate arbitration opened on August 19, 2020.

8 27. As such, the deadline to initiate arbitration fell on October 18, 2020 (60 days from
9 August 19, 2020).

10 28. As alleged above, NST did not attempt to initiate arbitration until December 17,
11 2020.

12 29. Given NST's failure to timely initiate arbitration, the withdrawal liability
13 assessment is now due and owing on the schedule set by the Trust.

14 ///

18 ///

22 ///

27 ///

1 **WHEREFORE**, Plaintiffs pray for relief as follows:

2 1. For an order confirming that the Trust's withdrawal liability assessment of
3 \$2,512,483 is immediately due and owing on the schedule set by the Trust;

4 2. For an order confirming that any pending arbitration proceedings are untimely and
5 therefore void;

6 3. For an order directing the American Arbitration Association to dismiss any
7 pending arbitration proceedings related to this matter, as the initiation of such proceedings are
8 untimely;

9 4. For attorney's fees and costs incurred herein; and

10 5. For such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.

11
12 Dated: January 12, 2021.

BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP

13 /s/ Christopher M. Humes

14 Adam P. Segal, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 6120

15 Christopher M. Humes, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 12782

16 100 North City Parkway, Suite 1600

17 Las Vegas, Nevada 89106-4614

18 Telephone: (702) 382-2101

Facsimile: (702) 382-8135

19 Attorneys for Plaintiffs